arXiv:hep-th/9908053v1 6 Aug 1999

Tensionless strings and the space-time signature change

S.A. Pol'shin[1]* and A.A. Zheltukhin[2]†
[1]Kharkov State University
310077, Svobody Sq. 4, Kharkov, Ukraine

[2]NSC Kharkov institute of Physics and Technology
310108 Kharkov, Ukraine
and Institute of Theoretical Physics , University of Stockholm
Box 6730, S-11385 Stockholm, Sweden

We construct a tensionless string model in a four-dimensional space-time $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ with the (2,2) signature and solve the string equations. We find that the signature change radically changes the structure of the holonomy group of the null worldsheet. As a result the introduction of the worldsheet Dirac operator invariant under the holonomy group becomes possible. We show that the such possibility is absent in the tensionless string model in the (3+1)-dimensional Minkowski space.

The extended tensionless objects - null strings [1] and null p-branes [2] are characterized by a simple dynamics which combines the properties of massless particles (equations of motion) and strings/p-branes (constraints). It gives a possibility to probe the complicated problems of the nonlinear (super)string/p-brane dynamics in the simplified picture of the null (super)string/p-brane dynamics [3, 2]. In particular, null (super)string/p-brane may be covariantly quantized [4] and their equations are exactly solvable for the cases of some interesting backgrounds [5]. It was shown in [6] that the null string action may be used as the zero approximation action in a perturbative description of the string dynamics in curved spaces [9]. In [7, 8] was observed that the inclusion of the worldsheet electromagnetism into the null superstring action allows a geometric interpretation of the Green-Schwarz superstring symmetries and leads to the string tension generation. The

---

*E-mail: itl593@online.kharkov.ua
†E-mail: aaz@physto.se

examination of the zero tension limit in the D-brane theory [10] allows to obvserve its parton-like structure which is close to the structures of the matrix model of M-theory [11] and the p-brane dynamics [12]. It was shown in [13, 14] that the tension generation mechanism [7] is also selfconsistent with the D-brane dynamics.

But there is one important difference between the tensionless and tensile superstrings. The tensionless (super)strings/p-branes have no any critical dimension and are selfconsistent objects, in particular, in the (3+1)-dimensional Minkowski space. Therefore, it seems rather interesting to investigate the reaction of the null string dynamics under the deformations of the space-time structure. Topological deformations belong to the most interesting ones, because they affect the string dynamics and may change the value of the critical dimension. The latter possibility was realized in the spinning string model with the $N = 2$ worldsheet conformal symmetry [15] which turned out to be selfconsistentl in a (2+2)-dimensional space-time [16]. The massless fields generated by the $N = 2$ spinning string are the self-dual Yang-Mills or gravitation fields [17], which are closely connected with the exactly integrable systems [18]. A supersymmetric generalization of the self-dual Yang-Mills/supergravity theories in (2+2) dimensions has shown the existence of the real chiral scalar supermultiplet [19]. Therefore, one can conclude that the signature changing is a constructive operation which shed light on the subtle correllation between the world-sheet symmetry, supersymmetry, critical dimension and exact integrability.

The objective of the present letter is to continue the investigation of posible physical effects in the string dynamics which arise from the signature change. To this end we construct here a model of free bosonic null string moving in the flat space $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ and prove the exact solvability of the string equaitons. We establish that the signature change opens a possibility to build the worldsheet Dirac operator invariant under the holonomy group of the null worldsheet. We show that the such possibility is absent in the case of null string embedded into the Minkowski space $\mathbf{R}^{3,1}$.

Our rules for the indices usage are the following: $\mu, \nu, \ldots = 1, \ldots, 4$ over $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ and $0, \ldots, 3$ over $\mathbf{R}^{3,1}$; $i, k = 1, 2$; $a, b, \ldots = 1, \ldots, 3$; the worldsheet indices $\alpha, \beta, \ldots = 1, 2$.

1 The symmetry group of the $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ space is the semidirect product of the Abelian translation subgroup with the generators P_μ and the $SO(2,2)$ group of the orthogonal transformations with the generators $J_{\mu\nu} = -J_{\nu\mu}$:

$$\begin{aligned}
&[P_\mu, P_\nu] = 0 \\
&[P_\mu, J_{\nu\rho}] = \eta_{\mu\nu} P_\rho - \eta_{\mu\rho} P_\nu \\
&[J_{\mu\nu}, J_{\rho\sigma}] = \eta_{\mu\sigma} J_{\nu\rho} + \eta_{\nu\rho} J_{\mu\sigma} - \eta_{\mu\rho} J_{\nu\sigma} - \eta_{\nu\sigma} J_{\mu\rho},
\end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

where $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(+1, +1, -1, -1)$ is the metric tensor. The matrix elements of the $SO(2,2)$ vector representation are

$$(J^{(v)}_{\mu\nu})^{\rho}{}_{\sigma} = \delta^{\rho}_{\mu} \eta_{\nu\sigma} - \delta^{\rho}{}_{\nu} \eta_{\mu\sigma}. \tag{2}$$

The null plane having the coordinates x^μ and passing through the coordinate system origin in $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ is given by $x \cdot m_i = 0,\ i = 1, 2$, where

$$m_1^\mu = (0, -1, 0, 1) \quad , \quad m_2^\mu = (1, 0, -1, 0).$$

Then one can express the couple of the coordinates ζ^i, parametrizing this null plane, by the equations $\zeta^i = n_i \cdot x$, where

$$n_1^\mu = (1, 0, 1, 0) \quad , \quad n_2^\mu = (0, 1, 0, 1) \tag{3}$$

$$n_i \cdot n_k = m_i \cdot m_k = 0 \quad , \quad n_i \cdot m_k = 2\varepsilon_{ik}.$$

Let us define now the subgroup of the rotations group transforming the null plane onto itself by the conditions

$$L^\mu{}_\nu n_i^\nu = \alpha_{ik} n_k^\mu, \tag{4}$$

where $L^\mu{}_\nu$ are the $SO(2,2)$ global transformations and α_{ik} are some coefficients depending on $L_{\mu\nu}$. One can write down the infinitesimal transformations with the parameters $\Delta\omega_{\mu\nu} = -\Delta\omega_{\nu\mu}$ as

$$L^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu + \frac{1}{2}\Delta\omega^{\rho\sigma}(J^{(v)}_{\rho\sigma})^\mu{}_\nu = \delta^\mu_\nu + \Delta\omega^\mu{}_\nu. \tag{5}$$

The substitution of the representation (5) into Eqs.(4) together with the use of the explicit form of n_i restrict the possible form of $\Delta\omega_{\mu\nu}$. As a result we find $L_{\mu\nu}$ in the form of a linear combination of the unity element and the symmetry group generators of the null plane. It is easy to check that these generators are presented in the form

$$\begin{array}{l} 2X_1 = J_{12} - J_{34} \quad , \quad 2X_2 = J_{13} - J_{24} \quad , \quad 2X_3 = J_{14} + J_{23} \\ D = J_{13} + J_{24} \quad , \quad S = J_{12} - J_{14} + J_{23} + J_{34} \end{array} \tag{6}$$

and satisfy the following commutation relations

$$[X_a, X_b] = \varepsilon_{abc} X^c \quad , \quad [X_a, S] = [X_a, D] = 0 \quad , \quad [D, S] = 2S, \tag{7}$$

where the three-dimensional indices are raised and lowered by means of the tensor $\rho_{ab} = \mathrm{diag}(-1, +1, +1)$ and $\varepsilon_{123} = 1$. It is easy to find that Eqs. (7) produce the direct product of the $SO(2,1)$ group and the solvable group with the generators S and D. Let us consider now the action of these groups onto the vectors n_i:

1) The generator S does not change the vectors n_i of the null plane: $(S^{(v)})^\mu{}_\nu n_i^\nu = 0$ and may be omitted without any loss.

2) D is the dilatation generator: $(D^{(v)})^\mu{}_\nu n_i^\nu = n_i^\mu$ and the Abelian group created by it will be denoted by $\mathcal{D}$.

3) The action of the rest generators X_a is given by

$$(X_a^{(v)})^\mu{}_\nu n_i^\nu = (\sigma_a)_{ik} n_k^\mu, \tag{8}$$

where the matrices

$$\sigma_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ -1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sigma_2 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \quad , \quad \sigma_3 = -\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

are the Pauli matrices in the 2+1 dimensional space:

$$\sigma_a \sigma_b = \rho_{ab} - \varepsilon_{abc}\sigma^c. \tag{9}$$

Taking into account the relations $\varepsilon^{ik} = -(\sigma_1)_{ik}$ ($\varepsilon^{12} = -\varepsilon^{21} = 1$), the symmetry property of the matrices $\sigma_1\sigma_a$ and the transformation rules (8) we find that the bilinear form $\varepsilon^{ik} n'^{\mu}_{i} n'^{\nu}_{k} = \varepsilon^{ik} n^{\mu}_{i} n^{\nu}_{k}$ remains invariant under these transformations. Then, in view of the well known isomorphism $SO(2,1) \simeq Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ one can consider the null-plane as a flat symplectic manifold. To yield the complete symmetry group of the null plane we should extend $Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ by the generators

$$\Pi_i = P_i - P_{i+2}, \tag{10}$$

which produce the shifts of the null plane into itself.

Using (1) we find that Π_i compose the set of the $Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}$ tensor operators:

$$[\Pi_i, X_a] = (\sigma_a)_{ik}\Pi_k \quad , \quad [\Pi_i, D] = -\Pi_i. \tag{11}$$

2 The Dirac operator over $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ reads

$$\hat{\nabla} = \gamma^{\mu} P_{\mu}, \tag{12}$$

where $P_\mu = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}$ are the translation generators and γ are the Dirac matrices with the algebra $\{\gamma_\mu, \gamma_\nu\} = 2\eta_{\mu\nu}$. The Dirac operator on the null plane is the pullback of $\hat{\nabla}$ to this surface. Expressing the derivatives $\partial/\partial x^\mu$ in terms of $\partial_i \equiv \partial/\partial\zeta^i$ and substituting them into (12) we get

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\text{null}} = \Gamma_i \partial_i, \tag{13}$$

where $\Gamma_i = \gamma_i + \gamma_{i+2}$. As a result of $\{\Gamma_i, \Gamma_k\} = 0$, we find that $\hat{\nabla}^2_{\text{null}} = 0$ and then the Dirac equation takes the form

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\text{null}}\psi = 0,$$

which should be $Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ invariant. If so, this Dirac equation is physically sensible one. But we can prove more strong proposition that the Dirac operator is invariant under the groups $Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}$. . Because of $\Pi_i = \partial_i$, we can write down (13) as

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\text{null}} = \Gamma_i \Pi_i \tag{14}$$

for the case of *the scalar representation* of the generators. The generators of the desired $Sp(1, \mathbf{R})$ spinor representation are given by (6), where the generators of the corresponding

$SO(2,2)$ representation are substituted. Then, using the properties of γ-matrices we find that Γ_i are the tensor operators under $Sp(1,\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}$:

$$[\Gamma_i, X_a^{(s)}] = -(\sigma_a)_{ki}\Gamma_k \quad , \quad [\Gamma_i, D^{(s)}] = \Gamma_i. \tag{15}$$

Using Eq. (11) we get

$$[\hat{\nabla}_{\mathrm{null}}, X_a^{(l)} + X_a^{(s)}] = [\hat{\nabla}_{\mathrm{null}}, D^{(l)} + D^{(s)}] = 0,$$

where $X_a^{(l)}$ and $D^{(l)}$ are the generators of the scalar representations of $Sp(1,\mathbf{R})$ and $\mathcal{D}$. The explicit form of these generators is not essential because the commutation relations (11) contain all needed information. Thus, the existence of the invariant Dirac equation (13) is proved.

3 By analogy with the previous case we can consider the null planes in the Minkowski space with $\eta_{\mu\nu} = \mathrm{diag}(+1,-1,-1,-1)$. But now, the vector n_1 is a lightlike vector whereas n_2 is a space-like one:

$$n_1 \cdot n_1 = n_1 \cdot n_2 = 0 \quad , \quad n_2 \cdot n_2 = -1. \tag{16}$$

Let us choose them as

$$n_1^\mu = (1,1,0,0) \quad , \quad n_2^\mu = (0,0,0,1).$$

The relations (1),(2),(5) and (12) remain invariable. One can conclude that the subgroup of the $SO(3,1)$ group defined by (4) is a three-parametric group with the generators

$$S = J_{02} + J_{12} \quad , \quad A_1 = J_{01} \quad , \quad A_2 = J_{03} + J_{13} \tag{17}$$

which form the algebra

$$[A_1, A_2] = -A_2 \quad , \quad [A_1, S] = -S \quad , \quad [A_2, S] = 0.$$

The generator S does not change the vectors n_i and may be omitted. The rest generators form the group $\mathcal{H}$ which is a solvable one. The generator A_1 produces dilatations of the vector n_i^μ and the generator A_2 shifts n_2^μ by the light-like vector n_1^μ:

$$(A_i)^\mu{}_\nu n_k^\nu = -\delta_{ik} n_1^\mu.$$

The translation generators $\Pi_1 = P_0 + P_1$ and $\Pi_2 = P_3$ are the tensor operators under $\mathcal{H}$:

$$[\Pi_i, A_k] = \delta_{ik}\Pi_1. \tag{18}$$

Choosing the null plane coordinates so that the equality $\Pi_i = \partial_i$ remains correct and considering the pullback of $\hat{\nabla}$ to this null plane we obtain $\hat{\nabla}$ in the form (14), where

$\Gamma_1 = \gamma_0 - \gamma_1$ and $\Gamma_2 = -\gamma_3$. Using these $\Gamma-$matrices we can observe that the Dirac operator squared $\hat{\nabla}^2_{\text{null}}$ is equal to

$$\hat{\nabla}^2_{\text{null}} = -\partial^2_2$$

and it is not invariant under the holonomy group $\mathcal{H}$ of the null plane. Indeed, this follows from the infinitezimal transformation of the holonomy group (18)

$$\delta_\epsilon \hat{\nabla}^2_{\text{null}} = 2\Pi_2\Pi_1\epsilon_k\delta_{k2},$$

where the equality $\partial_2 = \Pi_2$ was taken into account. The Dirac operator $\hat{\nabla}_{\text{null}}$ is also non invariant under $\mathcal{H}$, because

$$[\hat{\nabla}_{\text{null}}, A^{(l)}_i + A^{(s)}_i] \neq 0.$$

The non invariant character of $\hat{\nabla}^2_{\text{null}}$ and $\hat{\nabla}_{\text{null}}$ is explained by the breakdown of the $\Gamma-$matrices property to compose a set of the tensor operators under $\mathcal{H}$.

One can consider this fact as an equivalent expression of the impossibility to introduce the complex structure over a null plane in the Minkowski space. Indeed, it is well-known that a spinor field is a representation of the rotational group. In the above considered case of the null-string in $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ we found the introduction of spinor fields to be possible because the null plane symmetry contains the rotation subgroup $SO(2,1)$. This subgroup exists because each point of the null plane in $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ has the two mutually orthogonal and light-like vectors n^μ_1 and n^μ_2. The Minkowski signature change, i.e., the passage of $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ into $\mathbf{R}^{3,1}$, radically changes the geometric structure of the null-plane. As a result the introduction of only one light-like basis vector n^μ_1 (16) becomes possible, whereas the second linearly independent vector n^μ_2 should be a space-like one. These two vectors can not be transformed one to another by means of a rotation, because their norms are different. Therefore, we conclude that the rotation group can not be a symmetry of our null-plane in $\mathbf{R}^{3,1}$. In place of the rotation group $SO(2,1)$ we get a solvable two-parametric group, as it was proved earlier. Therefore, the signature change makes impossible to have the rotation group as the null plane symmetry group. This observation implies impossibility to introduce spinor fields and the invariant Dirac operator over the null plane in the Minkowski space-time.

4 A change of the null-plane by a light-like worldsheet embedded into $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ implies a localization of the $Sp(1,\mathbf{R})$ group together with the introduction of the corresponding worldsheet covariant derivatives. To this end let us consider the infinitesimal symplectic transformation with the parameters φ^a:

$$\Sigma \approx 1 + \sigma_a\varphi^a. \tag{19}$$

The characterizing property of the Dirac Γ-matrices to be invariant under the transformations of their vector and spinor indices

$$U\Gamma_i U^{-1} = \Gamma_k\Sigma_{ki} \tag{20}$$

yields the correspondence between the $Sp(1,\mathbf{R})$ transformations and the 4-spinor transformations U. Using this property together with Eqs. (9) and (15) we obtain

$$U \approx 1 + 2X_a^{(s)}\varphi^a, \tag{21}$$

$$(\Sigma\sigma_a\Sigma^{-1})\,(UX^{(s)a}U^{-1}) = \sigma_a X^{(s)a}. \tag{22}$$

The local $Sp(1,\mathbf{R})$ transformations (19) of the vector v^i lying on the plane tangent to the string's worldsheet are $v'^i = \Sigma^i_k v^k$. Taking into account this transformation law one can define the covariant differential in the tangent space

$$Dv^i = dv^i + \omega^i_k(d)v^k,$$

where $\omega_{ik} \in sl(2,\mathbf{R})$ is the connection 1-form in the tangent space. It can be then observed that this covariant derivative transforms under the local $Sp(1,\mathbf{R})$ transformations in just the same way as v^i. Then Eqs. (9) and (22) result in the conclusion that the spinor covariant derivative

$$\mathcal{D}_\alpha\psi = \frac{\mathcal{D}\psi}{d\zeta^\alpha} \quad , \quad \mathcal{D}\psi = d\psi + \omega^i_k(d)(\sigma_a)_{ik}X^{(s)a}\psi$$

correctly transforms under the transformations (19)

$$\mathcal{D}'_\alpha\psi' = U\mathcal{D}_\alpha\psi \quad , \quad \psi' = U\psi. \tag{23}$$

Further we introduce the inverse zveinbein e^α_i with the transformation law $e'^\alpha_i = \Sigma^i_k e^\alpha_k$ under the local rotations of the worldsheet tangent space (19). Equations (23) and (20) yield then the desired definition of the general covariant worldsheet Dirac operator

$$\hat{\nabla}_{\text{null}} = \Gamma_i e^\alpha_i \mathcal{D}_\alpha$$

which correctly transforms under the considered symplectic transformations:

$$\hat{\nabla}'_{\text{null}} = U\hat{\nabla}_{\text{null}}U^{-1}$$

.

5 Here we study the simplest model of the bosonic null string embedded in $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$. The null string's worldsheet has the above desribed symplectic structure. In the first place we introduce the local moving frame composed of the two light-like vectors $(\tilde{n}_i, \tilde{m}_i)$ attached to the null worldsheet:

$$\tilde{n}_i \cdot \tilde{n}_k = \tilde{m}_i \cdot \tilde{m}_k = 0 \quad , \quad \tilde{n}_i \cdot \tilde{m}_k = 2\varepsilon_{ik} \tag{24}$$

and next construct the antisymmetric tensor $N^{\mu\nu} = \varepsilon^{ik}\tilde{n}^\mu_i\tilde{n}^\nu_k$. Afterwards, following to the prescription given in [3] we can write down the action of the symplectic string in the form

$$S = \int d\tau d\sigma \left(N_{\mu\nu}\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha x^\mu\partial_\beta x^\nu - E_{ik}\tilde{n}_i \cdot \tilde{n}_k\right), \tag{25}$$

where $\tau = \zeta^1$, $\sigma = \zeta^2$ and E_{ik} is a world sheet density ensuring the reparametrization invariance of the action S. The Euler-Lagrange equations produced by S are

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha x^\mu \partial_\beta x^\nu \varepsilon^{im}\tilde{n}_{m\nu} = E^{ik}\tilde{n}^\mu_k \tag{26}$$

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha N_{\mu\nu}\partial_\beta x^\nu = 0. \tag{27}$$

Let us look for the general solution of Eqs. (26),(27) in the form of the expansion

$$\partial_\alpha x = a^i_\alpha \tilde{n}_i + b^i_\alpha \tilde{m}_i \tag{28}$$

If the expansion (28) is substituted into Eqs (26) one yields the conditions

$$\begin{array}{ll} b^2_\alpha = \lambda b^1_\alpha \quad , & E_{ik} = \left(\begin{array}{cc} 1 & \lambda \\ \lambda & \lambda^2 \end{array}\right) E_{11} \\ b^1_\alpha = -\frac{E_{11}}{|a|}(a^2_\alpha - \lambda a^1_\alpha) \quad , & |a| = \det a^i_\alpha \neq 0. \end{array} \tag{29}$$

Taking into account the conditions (29) we can present the solution (26) as

$$\partial_\alpha x = a^k_\alpha \tilde{n}_k - \frac{E_{11}}{|a|}(a^2_\alpha - \lambda a^1_\alpha)(\tilde{m}_1 + \lambda \tilde{m}_2). \tag{30}$$

It follows from Eq. (30) that the worldsheet metric $g_{\alpha\beta}$

$$g_{\alpha\beta} \equiv (\partial_\alpha x \cdot \partial_\beta x) = \frac{E_{11}}{|a|}(a^2_\alpha - \lambda a^1_\alpha)(a^2_\beta - \lambda a^1_\beta). \tag{31}$$

induced by the embedding into $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ is degenerate, i.e., $|g_{\alpha\beta}| = 0$, as it should be for the light-like surface. Moreover, the factorization of $g_{\alpha\beta}$ (31) shows that it can be vanished by the choice $a^2_\alpha = \lambda a^1_\alpha$. In view of these conditions the moving frame $(\tilde{n}_i, \tilde{m}_i)$ orientation concerning the null string's worldsheet and its embedding into $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ are fixed. The solution of the string equations (26) implied by the conditions $g_{\alpha\beta} = 0$, or equivalently $|a| = 0$, takes the form

$$\partial_\alpha x = e^{\tilde{a}}_\alpha n_{\tilde{a}} \quad , \quad E_{11} = -2|e^{\tilde{a}}_\alpha| \quad , \quad g_{\alpha\beta} = 0, \tag{32}$$

where the isotropic vectors $n_{\tilde{a}}$ $(\tilde{a} = \tilde{1}, \tilde{2})$ tangent to the null string's worldsheet and zveinbein $e^{\tilde{a}}_\alpha$ are defined by the relations

$$n_{\tilde{a}} = \left(\begin{array}{c} n_{\tilde{1}} \\ n_{\tilde{2}} \end{array}\right) = \left(\begin{array}{c} \tilde{n}_1 + \lambda \tilde{n}_2 \\ \tilde{m}_1 + \lambda \tilde{m}_2 \end{array}\right) \quad , \quad e^{\tilde{a}}_\alpha = (e^{\tilde{1}}_\alpha, e^{\tilde{2}}_\alpha) = (a^1_\alpha, b^1_\alpha) \tag{33}$$

and the conditions

$$e^\alpha_{\tilde{a}} e^{\tilde{b}}_\alpha = \delta^{\tilde{b}}_{\tilde{a}} \quad , \quad e^\alpha_{\tilde{a}} e^{\tilde{a}}_\beta = \delta^\beta_\alpha \quad , \quad e^\alpha_{\tilde{a}} = (\det e^{\tilde{a}}_\alpha)^{-1} \varepsilon_{\tilde{a}\tilde{b}} \varepsilon^{\alpha\beta} e^{\tilde{b}}_\beta. \tag{34}$$

Taking into account the solutions (32),(33) we get $N_{\mu\nu}\partial_\beta x^\nu = -2e^{\tilde{2}}_\beta n_{\tilde{1}}$. Substituting the above expression into Eqs. (26) we reduce them to the equations

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha(e^{\tilde{2}}_\beta n_{\tilde{1}}) = 0. \tag{35}$$

Using the solutions (32) one can present the 4-vector $n_{\tilde{1}}$ in the form $n_{\tilde{1}} = e^{\gamma}_{\tilde{1}}\partial_\gamma x$. The next substitution of this representation into Eqs. (35) transforms the latter to

$$\varepsilon^{\alpha\beta}\partial_\alpha\left[e^{\tilde{2}}_\beta e^{\gamma}_{\tilde{1}}\partial_\gamma x\right] = 0. \tag{36}$$

In view of the arbitrariness in the choice of the worldsheet gauge we can fix it by the conditions $e^{\tilde{2}}_\tau = 0$, $e^{\tilde{2}}_\sigma = e^{\tilde{1}}_\tau$. In this gauge Eqs. (36)take the form

$$\ddot{x}^\mu = 0 \Longrightarrow x^\mu = q^\mu(\sigma) + \mathcal{P}^\mu(\sigma)\tau,$$

which coincide with the equations of null string embedded in the Minkowski space [1, 2]. By the virtue of the symmetry between τ and σ for this embedding into $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ there exists another gauge $e^{\tilde{2}}_\sigma = 0$, $e^{\tilde{1}}_\sigma = e^{\tilde{1}}_\tau$, in which the string equations (36) take the form

$$\overset{\prime\prime}{x}{}^\mu = 0.$$

Thus, we conclude that the null string dynamics described by the action (25) in $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ is selfconsistent.

The following natural step is the introduction of the worldsheet spinor fields into the bosonic action (25). This extension is supported by the existence of the invariant worldsheet Dirac operator, as it was shown here. The simplest extension consists in the addition of the kinetic term $S_{\rm fermi}$ for the massless Dirac field

$$S_{\rm fermi} = \lambda\int d^2\zeta\, E\overline{\psi}\hat{\nabla}_{\rm null}\psi,$$

where E is the world sheet density contructed of E_{ik} [2, 3]. Note, that this extension doesn't suggest the presence of any supersymmetry. The inclusion of spinor fields may shed new light onto the troubles of the string theory, in particular, the problem of the mass/tension generation since the worldsheet spinor structure doesn't depend of the tension value.

Other generalizations such as the supersymmetrization of the world sheet or/and $\mathbf{R}^{2,2}$ space, introduction of the worldsheet electromagnetic or other internal fields, embedding into curved space and other backgrounds are also possible.

We would like to thank S.Ketov and U.Lindström for useful discussions. A.Z. thanks FYSIKUM, where part of this work was done, for the kind hospitality. This work was supported in part Ukrainian SFFI grants F4/1751 and F/1794. A.Z. is supported by the grant of the Royal Swedish Academy of Sciences.

[1] A. Shild. Phys. Rev. D 16 (1977) 1722;
A.Karlhede, U.Lindström. CQG 3 (1986) L73;
F.Lizzi, B.Ray, G.Sparano and G.Srivastava. Phys. Lett. B 182 (1986) 326 =2E

[2] A.A.Zheltukhin. JETP. Lett. 46 (1987) 208; Soviet J. of Nucl.Phys. 48 (1988) 587.

[3] A.A.Zheltukhin, Teor. Mat. Fiz. 77 (1988) 377.

[4] I.A. Bandos and A.A.Zheltukhin. Phys. Lett. B261 (1991) 245; Fortschr. Phys. 41 (1993) 619.

[5] A.A. Zheltukhin. Phys. Lett. B233 (1989), 112;
K. Ilienko and A.A. Zheltukhin. Clas. Quant. Grav. 16 (1999) 383.

[6] A.A. Zheltukhin. Clas.Quant.Grav.12 (1996), 2357;
S.N. Roshchupkin and A.A. Zheltukhin. Nucl. Phys. B 543 (1999) 365; hep-th/9806054,

[7] P.K. Townsend. Phys. Lett. B 277 (1992) 285.

[8] E.Bergshoeff, L.A. London and P.K. Townsend. Clas.Quant.Grav. 9 (1992) 2545.

[9] H.J. De Vega and N. Sanchez. Phys. Lett.B197 (1987) 320;
Nucl. Phys. B309 (1988) 577.

[10] U. Lindström and R. von Unge. Phys. Lett. B 403 (1997) 233.

[11] T. Banks, W. Fischler, S.H. Shenker and L. Susskind. Phys.Rev. D 55 (1997) 5112.

[12] H.S Yang, I. Kim, B-H. Lee. Phys.Rev. D 58 (1998), 085018.

[13] M.Cederwall, A. von Gussich, B.E.W.Nilsson,P.Sundell and A. Westerberg, The Dirickhle super p-branes in ten-dimensional type IIA and IIB supergravity, hep-th/9611159.

[14] E.Bergshoeff and P.K. Townsend. Super D-branes revisited, hep-th/9804011.

[15] M.Ademollo, L. Brink,A.D'Adda, R.D. Auria, E Napolitano, S. Scuito, E. Del Guidice, P. Di Vecchia, S. Ferrara, F. Gliozzi, R. Musto, R. Pettorino and J. Schwarz. Nucl. Phys. B 111 (1976) 77.

[16] A.D'Adda, F.Lizzi. Phys. Lett. B 191 (1987) 85;
E.S. Fradkin and A.A. Tseytlin. Phys. Lett. B 106 (1981) 63.

[17] H.Ooguri and C.Vafa. Mod.Phys. Lett A 5(1990), 1389; Nucl.Phys. B 361 (1991) 469.

[18] A.A. Belavin, A.M. Polyakov, A.S. Schwartz and Y. Tyupkin. Phys. Lett. B59 (1975) 85;
M.F. Atiyah and R.S. Ward. Commun. Math. P hys. 55 (1977) 117;
E.F. Corrigan, D.B. Fairlie, R.C. Yates and P. Goddard. Commun. Math. Phys. 58 (1978) 223;
Q-Han Park Phys. Lett. B 238 (1989) 287.

[19] S.V. Ketov, H. Nishino,and S.J. Gates Jr. Phys. Lett. B 307 (1993) 323; B 307 (1993) 331.